

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/625,702	07/24/2003	Franciscus Maria Leonardus van der Goes	1875.2820002	1071	
26111 CTEDNIE VES	7590 08/01/2007		EXAMINER		
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C. 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			NGUYEN, LINH V		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2819		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/01/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/625,702	VAN DER GOES ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Linh V. Nguyen	2819			

Advisory Action	10/625,702	VAN DER GOES ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	. 1			
	Linh V. Nguyen	2819	***************************************			
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess			
THE REPLY FILED 19 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	· · · ·		-			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later in no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note, If box 1 is checked; check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 7	Examiner Note, If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension feed have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension feed under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action, or (1) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office tater than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if himsely field, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b), NOTICE OF APPEAL						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)); or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS 						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below), (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.				
NOTE:, (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	ı.	•				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment (PTOI -324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	s)	a				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	allowable it submitted in a separate.	, timely liled amendme	nt cancering the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed an action of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-20.32 and 35-40.) 🔀 will not be entered, or b) 🔲 wovided below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	xplanation or			
Claim(s) allowed: 1720.52 and 35-70. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 33. Claim(s) wilhdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	necessary and			
 The affidavit or other evidence flied after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apports and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fei See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a I)			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because						
See Continuation Sheet.						
12 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	LINH NGUY	EN Minier			
13. Other	ſ	PRIMARY EXAL	MINEN			
	7/27/	all 11	(1). 11-			
	1 7	and la	igny			

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: there is no distinction beween digital output and vice versa.